

BEFORE THE ARIZANA CORPORATION COMMISSION DOCKETED CARL J. KUNASEK Chairman NOV 0 2 2000 3 JIM IRVIN Commissioner WILLIAM A. MUNDELL DOCKETED BY Commissioner DOCKET NO. E-01933A-00-0739 IN THE MATTER OF TUCSON ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY'S FILING TO MODIFY ITS) DECISION NO. (02982 PRICING PLAN PRS-101, NON-FIRM POWER PURCHASE FROM RENEWABLES, COGENERATION, AND SMALL POWER PRODUCTION SERVICE **ORDER** Open Meeting October 25, 2000 Phoenix, Arizona BY THE COMMISSION: **FINDINGS OF FACT** 12 13 1. 14 a public service corporation in the State of Arizona.

- Tucson Electric Power Company ("TEP") is certificated to provide electric service as
- 2. On September 26, 2000, TEP filed an application to modify its Pricing Plan PRS-101, 16 Non-firm Power Purchase from Renewables, Cogeneration, and Small Power Production Service ("PRS-101"). The proposed modification would add a "net metering" option to the tariff.
 - 3. "Net metering" is a method by which customers can use electricity from customer-sited electric generators to offset electricity purchased from the utility or electric service provider. The customer pays only for the net electricity purchased.
- PRS-101 provides the rates under which TEP buys energy from customers that are Qualifying Facilities ("QF") with capacity of 100 kW or less. QFs are designated by the Federal 23 Energy Regulatory Commission ("FERC") and include solar, wind, waste, or geothermal facilities 24 with a power production capacity of no more than 80 MW and qualifying cogeneration facilities. QFs 25 are owned by persons not primarily engaged in the generation or sale of electricity. The Public Utility 26 Regulatory Policies Act of 1978 ("PURPA") requires utilities to purchase power from QFs.

27

15

18

21

28

- 5. The rates on PRS-101 are \$0.044 per kWh from May through October and \$0.035 per kWh from November through April. PRS-101 provides for two system configurations, at the customer's option: (a) parallel mode and (b) simultaneous buy/sell mode. Under parallel mode, the customer's QF first supplies the customer's own electric requirements with any excess power being sold to TEP at the above rates. When the QF does not supply all of the electricity needed by the customer, the customer buys any needed electricity from TEP at the applicable standard retail rate.
- 6. Under simultaneous buy/sell mode, the customer's total QF generating output is sold directly to TEP, and the customer buys all needed electricity from TEP. Currently, billing for purchases and sales under the simultaneous buy/sell mode are calculated under either of two methods: (i) net bill method or (ii) separate bill method. The proposed modification to the tariff would add "net metering" as a third method.
- 7. Under the net bill method, kWh sold to TEP is subtracted from kWh purchased from TEP. If the customer buys more kWh from TEP than it sells to TEP, the customer pays for the net kWh at the applicable standard retail rate. If the customer sells more kWh than it buys, TEP buys the net kWh at the above rates. Under the separate bill method, purchase and sales are treated separately with the customer paying the applicable standard retail rate for all purchases and TEP paying the above rates for all sales.
- 8. Under the proposed net metering method, kWh sold to TEP is subtracted from kWh purchased from TEP. If the customer buys more kWh from TEP than it sells to TEP, the customer pays for the net kWh at the applicable standard retail rate. If the customer sells more kWh than it buys, TEP would credit the net kWh against net kWh in the next billing cycle. All kWh credits would be zeroed out after the January billing cycle.
- 9. The net metering method would apply only to a customer with a solar facility that has AC electrical peak capability of 5 kW or less and meets the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers ("IEEE") Standard 929, local, and National Electrical Code requirements. Installation must be complete within six months from pre-installation approval or the QF must re-apply. In addition, service for all QFs under the net metering method would be limited to a total of 500 kW.

11

14

15

17

18

19 20II

21

25 26

27

28

Customers can choose whichever method is most beneficial to them. The proposed net 10. metering method would provide an additional choice for customers and encourage more solar facilities. In particular, it would benefit small systems that meet their own loads. TEP would benefit by being able to claim an extra credit multiplier under the Environmental Portfolio Standard because such credits are allowed for net billing programs.

11. In addition, TEP proposes to make a nonsubstantive change to the name of PRS-101. The current name is "Cogeneration and Small Power Production Service Non-Firm Power Purchase from Qualifying Facilities ("OF") with 100 kW or Less Capacity." The proposed name would be "Non-Firm Power Purchase from Renewables, Cogeneration, and Small Power Production Service." 10 The Availability section of the tariff would remain the same.

Staff has recommended approval of the proposed modifications to PRS-101. Staff has 12. further recommended that TEP file tariff pages consistent with the terms of this Decision within 15 days from the effective date of the Decision.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. TEP is an Arizona public service corporation within the meaning of Article XV, Section 2, of the Arizona Constitution.
- The Commission has jurisdiction over TEP and over the subject matter of the 2. application.
- 3. Approval of the proposed modifications to the tariff does not constitute a rate increase as contemplated by A.R.S. Section 40-250.
- 4. The Commission, having reviewed the tariff pages (copies of which are contained in 22 the Commission tariff files) and Staff's Memorandum dated October 4, 2000, concludes that the proposed modifications to the tariff are reasonable, fair and equitable, and therefore, in the public 24 interest.

Decision No. 62982

	Page 4	Docket No. E-01933A-00-0739
1		OPDED
1	<u>ORDER</u>	
2	THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that the modifications to the tariff filing be and hereby ar	
3	approved.	
4	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that TEP shall file tariff pages consistent with the terms of thi	
5	Decision within 15 days from the effective date of the Decision.	
6	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Decision shall become effective immediately.	
7		
8	BY ORDER OF THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION	
9	U. OV.	ul hall mall
10	MCARMAN CHARMAN	COMMISSIONER COMMISSIONER
11		IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, BRIAN C. McNEIL,
12		Executive Secretary of the Arizona Corporation Commission, have hereunto, set my hand and caused the
13		official seal of this Commission to be affixed at the Capitol, in the City of Phoenix, this day of North Lea,
14		2000.
15		
16		Su / M/L
17		BRIAN C. McNEIL Executive Secretary
18		
19	DISSENT:	
20	DRS:BEK:eap/	
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		Decision No. 62982